

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,170	10/20/2003	Klaus Molzer	A66.2-11057-US01	2718
490	7590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A.			BOSWELL, CHRISTOPHER J	
6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/689,170	MOLZER, KLAUS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher Boswell	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	V swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 29-49 is/are pending in the application	<b>l.</b>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-49</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		Ч				
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list (	or the certained copies not receive	<b>u</b> .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/26/04.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/689,170

Art Unit: 3676

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 29 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "linter", in line 7 of the claim is believed to be misspelled. The examiner furthered examination by replacing the aforementioned misspelling with --limiter--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 29-33, 35, 37-39, and 48-49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7, 9-10, 15-16, and 19-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,662,605 to Molzer (Molzer '605).

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Molzer '605 claims a latch assembly adapted for attachment to a door in a doorframe, the latch assembly comprising a base having a recessed working channel, an aperture, and an arcuate stop fixedly positioned in the working channel, a shaft extending through the aperture, the shaft having a rotational limiter, the rotational limiter being positioned in the

Application/Control Number: 10/689,170

Art Unit: 3676

working channel the stop and the rotational limiter being disposed at substantially opposite locations within the working channel; and, a handle fixed to the shaft whereby the handle and shaft are at least partially rotatable with respect to the base between a first position and a second position, the handle being connected to the shaft, the handle having a grasping portion and an arcuate protruding positioning member positioned in the working channel between the stop and the rotational limiter. Wherein, the differences between Molzer '605 claim 1, and the current application are that Molzer '605 is further limited by having "an arcuate stop fixedly position" (column 12, lines 50) and "an arcuate protruding positioning member" (column 12, lines 61-62), where the current application discloses just "a stop positioned" (claim 1, line 3-4), and "a protruding positioning member" (claim 1, line 12), Molzer '605 also claims "said rotational limiter being positioned in said working channel said stop and said rotational limiter being disposed at substantially opposite locations within said working channel" (column 12, lines 55-56) and "an arcuate protruding positioning member positioned in the working channel between the stop and the rotational limiter" (column 12, lines 61-63), where the current application discloses "said rotational limiter being positioned in said working channel said stop being separated from said rotational limiter within said working channel" (claim 29, lines 6-8) and "said protruding positioning member constructed for movement between said stop and said rotational limiter" (claim 1, lines 12-14).

Additionally, Molzer '605 has a narrower scope within the limitation of an arcuate stop and arcuate protruding positioning member, and it is obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made where the stop and rotational limiter are separated (current application) when the stop and rotational limiter is disposed at substantially opposite locations

Application/Control Number: 10/689,170

Art Unit: 3676

(Molzer '605), and where a partially rotatable handle having a protruding positioning member positioned between the stop and the rotational limited (Mozler '605), is constructed for movement between the stop and the rotational limiter (current application).

Furthermore, claims 30-33, 35, 37-39, and 48-49 of the current application are identical to claims 2-7, 9-17, and 19-20 of Molzer '605, respectfully.

Claims 34, 36, and 41-42 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 40, 43-45, and 47 of Molzer '605, as applied above, and in view of claims 2, 4, 10 and 11, respectfully, of 6,354,119 to Molzer (Molzer '119).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Boswell whose telephone number is (703) 305-4067. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,170 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CJB

June 21, 2004

Joh D. Woll
Primary Examiner
AU 3676